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Abstract: The profound change in thinking about the law experienced by European jurists at the turning of the 20th century is well known: they renewed their methods, also through the influence of German legal thought and the impact of new social sciences. Focusing on the Italian experience, the research intends to investigate how this innovative change was linked to the teaching of law. Most certainly, new courses were introduced. Concerning the contents of the teachings, another point to investigate are the connections with the legal thought circulating at a transnational level in those times.
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1. A research project on legal education in 19th-20th century Italy

From the end of the 19th century to the first half of the 20th century people and ideas – as well as capital – circulated intensively at a transnational level. Referring to legal thought, the cultural exchanges of the time that happened among jurists and in particular among law professors represent a challenging field of study.

The profound change in thinking about the law experienced by jurists in those times is well known: jurists renewed their methods, also through the influence of German legal thought, which itself was going through a period of heated critical debate.¹ It occurred in Italy, as well as in other countries, as historiography has already investigated and clearly demonstrated.² Nowadays, literature on nineteenth century legal thought and methodology is rich.

The research question I am interested in is precisely how this innovative change was connected to the teaching of law, a crucial issue to verify being if and how law courses reflected the renewal and participated in it. In Italy, for example, historiography pointed out how law professors seized the opportunity of the inaugural lectures (prolusioni) of the academic year to discuss methodological questions,³ but a comprehensive analysis of the contents of the courses is still lacking.⁴


² Refer to Paolo Grossi, Scienza giuridica italiana. Un profilo storico (Milano: Giuffrè, 2002).


Indeed, at the turn of the 20th century, a rethinking of law-teaching methods and their contents across Europe was stimulated by a number of factors, namely: the economic and industrial development of the late nineteenth century; the appearance of ‘social sciences’ such as sociology; the emergence of new fields of study, such as statistics and economics; and, of course, the influence of German legal doctrine and the impact of the new German codification of 1900, the BGB.

However, the diffusion of German legal doctrine did not mean the monopolisation of legal methods and thinking. Connections and reciprocal influences among jurists facilitated exchanges, as well as “contamination” with other legal teachings. The above mentioned emerging social sciences contributed significantly to the debate, both at a national and international level.\(^5\)

For example, at the end of the 19th century, not only Roman law, but also more ancient laws were studied and often in a comparative perspective.

Ideas and laws studied from the colonial world contributed to the development of this kind of study. One could think of the very successful masterpiece written in 1861 by the British jurist Henry Sumner Maine, *Ancient Law*, a bestseller in continental Europe. One might also think of the success with jurists of the work on prehistoric matriarchy, *Mother Right (Mutterrecht)*, written by the Swiss jurist and anthropologist Bachofen, also published in 1861. Ethnography and anthropology were not the only new subjects; on the contrary, they were but two of the significant topics of that period, as well as sociology, in its different interpretations. Initially, this kind of study was experimented by scholars who were interested in these new fields of research. Very soon indeed, these same studies and, more generally, the so-called ‘social sciences’ had an impact on legal studies and received great attention from a number of law professors, seeking new legal methods of interpretation.

Ethnography, for example, was an initial way of comparison which fascinated jurists, who started looking back to the past: a very well known Italian professor of Roman law, Pietro Bonfante, who had the chair of Roman law at Pavia University and then at Roma Sapienza University and also taught history of commerce at Bocconi University, together with his colleague Longo, translated from the original German language into Italian Albert Hermann Post’s work on legal anthropology and ethnography. Bonfante contributed to the success of Post with Italian scholars.

---


The research project on legal education in 19th-20th century Italy (and the related idea of a new database) aims precisely at studying the Italian legal education system at the turn of the 20th century from the point of view of the teaching, also to verify the influence of social sciences and the connections with the legal thought circulating in those times.

After a comprehensive survey of topics and methods of law courses taught in Italian Universities back then, the analysis will focus specifically on the so called ‘free’ courses which renewed the general program of law studies. The first step will be to “map” these teachings and special care will be devoted to collecting and analysing textbooks and students’ notes.

The easiest way would be to start from the teachers, choosing a prosopographical approach, also bearing in mind that one of the outputs of the project should be the creation of a new database, following the example of databases like Siprojuris, devoted to French law professors, or the Diccionario de catádricos españoles de derecho, devoted to Spanish law professors.

Concerning Italian law professors, in fact, we have no such database yet. To my knowledge there is only the website edited by Dario Mantovani and Elisa Signori collecting information on Pavia University’s professors


from the yearly university gazettes (Annuari) for the period 1859-1961.14 It is indeed a precious tool for researchers, but most certainly not exhaustive.

Of course, we can count on recent and valuable collective print works and dictionaries like the Dizionario biografico dei giuristi italiani (XII-XX secolo), edited by Italo Birocchi, Ennio Cortese, Antonello Mattone and Marco Nicola Miletti, (Bologna: Il Mulino, 2013) or Il contributo italiano alla storia del pensiero. Diritto, Enciclopedia italiana di scienze lettere ed arti, Ottava appendice, edited by Paolo Cappellini, Pietro Costa, Maurizio Fioravanti and Bernardo Sordi (Roma: Istituto della Enciclopedia Italiana, 2012), which contain some relevant information on 19th and 20th century jurists. Notwithstanding the above, to conduct this research one must search the archives of universities and central archives in Rome to collect the relevant data concerning programs, teachers and teachings.

Furthermore, we have a number of significant studies dealing with Italian Universities after Unification – and in particular, I am thinking of the fundamental contributions by Ilaria Porciani and Mauro Moretti.15 Nowadays, literature on universities as well as on the university system in those times is very rich and this represents a solid base for further research and specifically for the research project on law courses at the turning of the 20th century.

Thanks to these studies, we are aware that after Unification universities were regarded as fundamental institutions, together with bureaucracy and the army, to build the new unified State and to prepare its ruling class (which, by the way, was largely made up of lawyers). That was why universities received special attention from the government and also played a political role.

In synthesis, through the initial reform of 1859 (legge Casati) and other following regulations – which seemed to be inspired by the French Napoleonic system, rather than by the highly appreciated German example16 – tenured faculty recruiting was centralized through a system of national competitions and university programs and curricula had to be approved by the minister of public education. Schools held by private teachers in the field of law which had been quite common, especially in Naples and in southern

Italy, gradually disappeared so that legal education was only available at universities.

In fact, during Restoration and before Unification universities in Italy had developed in different ways in the different States into which the country had been previously divided. Each State had its peculiarities specifically concerning legal education, and for the pre-unification period scholars have already searched teaching methods and sometimes also textbooks.\(^\text{17}\) I can quote Aldo Mazzacane and Cristina Vano’s studies\(^\text{18}\) as well as a fundamental collective volume on Emanuele Gianturco, a Neapolitan 19th century jurist, published in 1987,\(^\text{19}\) and of course the essays on case methods by Ferdinando Treggiari.\(^\text{20}\)

Other recent works focused on the question of the independence of the universities from the State and their financial autonomy.\(^\text{21}\) The reform of 1859 was not satisfactory, and as a result, when industrial revolution arrived in Italy, determining changes in society and economy, an important debate on the university system started. It happened during the 1880s. And in this debate other European examples were taken into great consideration, especially the French and the German ones.\(^\text{22}\)

Apart from these studies, however, our knowledge of law courses after Unification is still lacking and it clearly deserves scholars’ attention.

2. Chairs, teachings and the emergence of new academic disciplines.

At the turn of the 20th century in many Italian Universities the quality of teaching had increased: professors were selected through public exams and


\(^{18}\) I giuristi e la crisi dello Stato liberale in Italia fra Otto e Novecento, ed Aldo Mazzacane (Napoli: Liguori Editore, 1986); Università e professioni giuridiche in Europa nell’età liberale, ed Aldo Mazzacane, Cristina Vano (Napoli: Jovene, 1994).


\(^{21}\) Floriana Colao, La libertà di insegnamento e l’autonomia nell’Università liberale. Norme e progetti per l’istruzione superiore in Italia (Milano: Giuffrè, 1995).

they were evaluated according to their publications: tenured professors were selected nationally, while a number of other teachers were selected locally. Moreover, law professors were in most cases well known lawyers and, in many cases, also politicians.

In addition, from the point of view of the organisation of the classes, in late 19th and early 20th century Italian universities it was quite common for a law professor to teach different courses.

For example, Roman law and private law, which were the main teachings, were often taught by the same professors. This was because in Italy Roman law was studied according to Savigny’s method and it was considered part of private civil law. Sometimes, also commercial law and civil procedure were taught by the same person. Even if specialisation had increased, this could be seen as the remains of the previous tradition of having a few “generalist” professors who could teach different subjects.

And all these groups of professors took advantage of the so called “free teachings” to teach new subjects, namely comparative legislation or comparative law; labour law; industrial law; philosophy of law: usually, the free courses were held by the so called private lecturers (*liberi docenti*), an Italian version of the German *Privatdozenten*, but tenured faculty could also teach them.

This was a very complex system of teachers and teachings the study of which seems worthwhile.

As an example, one could consider the law program of Turin University from the beginning of the 20th century (in those times Turin was one of the leading law faculties in Italy): the number of “free”, not ordinary teachings was impressive. As mentioned above, not only the private lecturers taught them, but very often the full professors asked the faculty to teach at least one “free” class, where they could experiment with new subjects and topics. Moreover, in the same Turin University important teachings of economics were developed (Laboratorio Cognetti de Martiis) and Luigi Einaudi (who went on to become president of the Italian Republic) taught financial law (*scienza delle finanze*) there.

---


One specific and very interesting case was comparative law. In fact, among the most significant changes that occurred in legal education at the turn of the 20th century, and specifically in Italy, were new teachings of “modern” comparative law in Law Schools. Furthermore, comparative law and legal education seem to me to have always been closely connected: this connection has been evident since modern comparative law studies started, between the 19th and the 20th century.26

Concerning the comparative law teachings held at Turin Law School, Emilio Brusa, a criminal law professor, taught comparative criminal legislations; Giovanni Pacchioni, a Roman and private law professor, held the course of comparative legislations; Mario Sarfatti taught English and comparative law.27

Indeed, comparative law was considered a very interesting field of study as well as a very important subject in the preparation of prospective lawyers. And not only for lawyers, as proven by the teachings of law which started in 1902 in Milan, at Bocconi University, which were aimed not at law students, but at students of this newly founded Economic and Business School.28 In fact, the studies in law were of fundamental importance to the economic education provided by Bocconi at the time, especially private law, public law and commercial law.29 Therefore, law classes were held by well known law professors who, through their teachings, often explored new fields.

In particular, the sociologist and political science scholar Gaetano Mosca, professor of public law at Turin Law School, at Bocconi taught constitutional law in a comparative perspective and the already mentioned Giovanni Pacchioni taught comparative commercial law.

27 As an example, see Archivio storico dell’Università di Torino, Verbali della Facoltà di giurisprudenza dal 27 ottobre 1902 al 30 marzo 1909, Adunanza del 19 marzo 1906 and Adunanza del 24 marzo 1906.
However, one could wonder what kind of comparative law these professors were teaching, at both universities, Turin and Milan Bocconi.

Mario Sarfatti, who is also considered to be one of the first Italian scholars devoted to studying and teaching private comparative law in law schools, started a pioneering exploration of English private law. At that time there wasn’t really interest in Anglo American legal systems and a possible reason may simply have been a linguistic one. At the beginning of the 20th century he became the lecturer of English and comparative law at Turin Law school where he taught until 1935. In those years he travelled and met other foreign scholars who were interested in the field: in particular, his ideas were aligned with Edouard Lambert’s doctrines.31

So, in his teaching before World War I, Sarfatti mentioned the contemporary trend towards cosmopolitism as well as the recent industrial and commercial developments which contributed to dramatically increasing the economic exchanges among different countries. As a consequence many jurists felt the need to look at what happened outside their national legal systems, so that societies for comparative law grew in France, in England and in Germany.32 After the War, he spoke about the progress made by comparative law studies from the beginning of the nineteenth century to the first congress of Paris in 1900 (he specially mentioned the contribution of Saleilles) and onwards.33

Apart from the teachings of Sarfatti, one could think also of the teachings of the above mentioned Giovanni Pacchioni, professor of Roman law and civil law at the Universities of Innsbruck, Turin and Milan; professor of private international law at the Cairo University and professor of comparative commercial legislations at Bocconi University in Milan (1906-1909).34

Pacchioni wanted to renew the traditional methods of law interpretation: in particular, he refused the exegesis models and, instead, was more attracted by the German Pandekten, even if with some criticism: he felt the


33 Mario Sarfatti, Introduzione allo studio del diritto comparato (Torino: Giappichelli, 1933).

need to change the legal methods and, as a very cultured and learned lawyer, he took advantage of his broad knowledge of law.

In his course, he chose to talk firstly about the history of continental civil law and of the history of modern codifications and then he looked at the Anglo American legal tradition, still from a historical perspective, mentioning Bentham’s ideas. The second and most valuable part of his teaching was devoted to studying a specific problem always from a historical and comparative point of view. For example, in 1906 he chose to talk about bankruptcy.\(^{35}\)

What seems worthwhile highlighting is that this Italian professor had developed his own comparative method, picking suggestions from the very rich literature he knew. His approach started from a historical analysis, but his focus, however, was always on the law in force in Italy and abroad. Both his legal culture and the fact that law professors in those times knew very well what their foreign colleagues wrote emerged in his classes. That was why Pacchioni’s teaching of comparative legislations was also evidence of the circulation of legal thought.

Concerning Gaetano Mosca, he was an outstanding scholar of Piedmontese origin and born in Palermo,\(^{36}\) who elaborated an important doctrine on the elites and whose political thought was well known not only in Italy, but also abroad.\(^{37}\) At Bocconi, between 1902 and 1923, he held the course of constitutional and administrative law and later on, after World War One, a course of political science.\(^{38}\)


\(^{37}\) Among other studies, in English see *Studies on the political thought of Gaetano Mosca: the theory of the ruling class and its development abroad*, ed. Ettore A. Albertoni (Milano: Giuffrè, 1982).

In his teachings of constitutional law he developed a historical and comparative study of the British and American constitutions, as well as of other continental Europe constitutional experiences and finally he explained the Italian constitutional chart of those times, the Statuto Albertino. He also insisted on the political thought of the most important authors of the Enlightenment, such as Montesquieu and Rousseau. In his classes of political science he concentrated on single authors’ doctrines.39

Mosca experimented with his theories through his teachings, from constitutional law to a comprehensive political and legal doctrine and towards his theory “of the ruling class” and a history of the political doctrines. Certainly, he could benefit from the courses he held at Bocconi, in a favourable environment for interdisciplinary studies among law, economics and social sciences.40

In synthesis, the comparative perspective adopted by jurists – and especially by law professors in their classes – was determined by a more general rethinking of the role of the jurists. The comparative approach was used differently in each teaching and it led to different outputs, such as the introduction of regular classes of comparative law and the development of new academic disciplines.41

Generally speaking, however, in Italian as well as in other European universities the introduction of comparative law classes was not the only renewal in law programs: in fact, at the turn of the 20th century, new subjects such as industrial and labour law could not be ignored, 42 while European universities

---


40 Enrico De Mas, L’Italia tra ottocento e novecento e le origini della scienza politica (Mosca, Michels, Ferrero, Rensi) (Lecce: Miella, 1981); Francesco Mancuso, Gaetano Mosca e la tradizione del costituzionalismo (Napoli: ESI, 1999).

41 Refer to Qu’est-ce qu’une discipline juridique? Fondation et recomposition des disciplines dans les facultés de droit, eds. Frédéric Audren and Ségolène Barbou des Places (Paris: LGDJ, forthcoming).

42 For example, Annamaria Monti, “La concorrenza sleale e gli esordi del diritto industriale nell’Italia liberale: verso una teoria generale della concorrenza?,” in « Afferrare
also introduced new classes of sociology, political economy and statistics. And they did this very often apart from the general ordinary classes.

3. Concluding remarks

As I mentioned above, Italian late 19th century academic law teaching was influenced by the German legal thought. Legal science ‘prevailed’ somehow on legal practice in sense that even practical teachings were ‘transformed’ in scientific disciplines.

However, in their classes, Italian law professors had to deal with new national codes – the civil code “Pisanelli” of 1865 and the commercial code “Mancini” of 1882, above all – which were regarded as a fundamental step towards a real unification of the country. It will be very interesting to verify how they taught their students practical topics in a theoretical and scientific frame.

By examining the teaching of law, it is possible to evaluate the state of teaching methodologies at the time and their relationship with the legal doctrine and practice. In addition, it provides an opportunity to assess the extent to which university lectures were coming to grips with the profound social and economic changes of the era.

Concerning the sources, this can be verified through the analysis of the unofficial dispense, the notes collected in class by the most diligent students which were later lithographed and distributed among the others.
While these lecture notes were study material that reflected what was taught in lectures, they did not always bear the approval of the professor himself. Indeed, they often had a bad reputation in the academic world, especially when the professor had not re-read and approved them, and this is something to keep in mind when examining them. Nonetheless, these notes today represent a precious tool for researchers, together with the official textbooks published by the professors themselves, to have in-depth access to the teaching methodology of the time.48

In many countries legal education was conceived in those times as a matter for Universities and cultural exchanges among professors coming from different countries were especially intensive in the new fields of study.

To this purpose, I believe the research project has to have a comparative perspective: legal thought circulated thorough different meanings, translations, conferences, personal correspondences and above all through law journals.49 My idea is that legal thought also circulated through law teaching.50 Not only, for example, did an Italian professor speak of French and German professors’ doctrines to his students, but this same Italian professor was occasionally invited to teach at a foreign University.

As an example of possible developments from a comparative point of view, I mentioned the teachings of comparative law. They were held in Italy as well as in other countries and they were connected very frequently to the renewal of the legal thinking at the turn of the 20th century. In addition, comparative law doctrines circulated among scholars of different countries in those years and the scholars themselves, not only their written works, trav-

48 The lecture notes covered the exam programmes and this is very interesting, bearing in mind that “Examinations also influence the structure of academic knowledge and the history of disciplines” as stated by Robert Anderson, “Examinations and university models in nineteenth century Britain,” Annali di Storia delle Università italiane 19/1 (2015): 103.


50 See Transnational intellectual networks : forms of academic knowledge and the search for cultural identities, eds. Christophen Charle, Jürgen Schriewer, Peter Wagner (Frankfurt – New York: Campus Verlag, 2004).
elled.\textsuperscript{51} Mario Sarfatti, for example, went regularly to Lyon, where Edouard Lambert invited him to teach seminars on English law.\textsuperscript{52}

In synthesis, between the end of the 19th century and World War One, new courses and teaching methods were introduced, which in turn gave rise to new scientific disciplines that would be further developed over the course of the 20th century.\textsuperscript{53} And these same disciplines we are now trying to overcome were often born through transnational exchanges. In fact, I believe that a comparative perspective is essential to grasp not only single national situations, but also – and most important – the general transnational evolution of law teaching methods which occurred back then.
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